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#### Abstract

While there are some desirable and some not-so-desirable half-court positions in basketball, the "desirable positioning" may not always be clearly determined due to the values of the coach or manager. The most important factor in this is the "point of view". Therefore, the purpose of this study is to examine the perspectives that influence the evaluation of positioning in half-court defense for university teams be-longing to the first and second divisions of the Basketball Federation. A total of 192 players ( 110 male players and 82 female players) from university teams in the first and second divisions of the K-Student Basketball Federation were included in the study. As a result, the perspectives of the reasons for the decisions obtained in the descriptive form have been classified into the following categories: "Weak-side defensive position," "Strong-side defensive position," "Ball man defensive position," "General defensive position," "Defensive position related to play selection," "Defensive position related to coordination," and "Defensive position related to situational judgment. "The defensive positions were classified into seven categories: defensive positions related to situational viewpoints.
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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of defense in basketball is to reduce the number of shots taken by the opponent's offense and to decrease the probability of those getting into to the basket (Suzuki, 2016a). It also has the purpose of preventing shots, responding to offensive moves, such as passing, dribbling, and cutting, and trying to win the ball (Otaka, 2007). There have been many studies on defense (Cooper, 1930; Franks, 2015; Kozuwa et al., 2015; Inagaki, 1982; Miura et al., 2009; Yamaguchi et al., 2012; Yaita et al., 1989; Yamamoto, 2009; Yoshida et al., 2005).

Yaita et al (1995) stated that the basis of defense is to maintain a group confrontation and prevent or obstruct shots and not make them easy to execute. Uchiyama (2000) defines defense as "the actions taken by players to interfere with or prevent attacks and preparations for attacks when the enemy has possession of the ball. "This requires that the offense not be given the luxury of space, time, or numerical advantage.

There are two types of defensive techniques: individual and team. Yoshii (1987) proposed two types of individual defensive techniques: "defensive stance (defense)" and "defensive prevention". He then argued that players must cooperate with each other to strengthen the defensive skills of their team, and also establish a way of thinking about defense (Yoshii, 1987).

Positioning is one of the most important factors in defense. Iwamoto (1989) states that the success or failure of the next move depends on whether or not the stance and positioning prepared in advance can be successfully made executed. In the case of half-court defensive positioning, what constitutes "proper positioning" varies greatly depending on the perspective from which it is viewed. For example, what may look appropriate to a player on the court may not necessarily look that way to a coach off the court. Also, the appropriate position may vary depending

[^0]on whether the player is focusing on the distance and position of the offense, or on the play the offensive player is defending, such as passing, shooting, or dribbling.

In addition, the appropriate position also depends on the height of the offensive player and the tendency of the offensive player to make a certain play move (e.g., in which direction he often passes). In addition, in sports, the meaning of "understanding" differs between players and instructors (Mori, 1994). Therefore, players do not always understand the defensive tactics presented by coaches. In the present study, players do not always understand the defensive tactics presented by coaches. As stated in the previous section, coaches can change the structure of their practice programs by first learning what the players, who are actually on the court rather than the coach, are thinking and what they are focusing their attention on.

For these reasons, the positioning of the half-court defense varies according to various viewpoints, and it is necessary to establish a uniform standard for teams.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to examine the perspectives that influence the evaluation of half-court defense positioning for university teams in the First and Second Divisions of the Basketball Federation.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Subjects

A total of 192 university students, 110 male players and 82 female players, who are members of the K-Student Basketball League first and second divisions. Each university team has a high level of skill, having achieved 8th place or higher in the major tournaments of their league.

### 2.2. Survey Items

The scenes of the positioning items of the half-court defense were extracted from the actual plays by watching the videos of the 67th All-Japan University Basketball Championship and the 24th All-K University Basketball League Tournament, and an example of the questionnaire is shown in Fig. 1. The three items for evaluating the positioning of the half-court defense are (1) straight cut, (2) step and front cut, and (3) back cut, all related to cut-in plays. Next, there are five questions on pick-and-rolls (4. drive-to-the-goal, 5. cut-away, 6. early release, 7. open shot, 9. jump shot), which ask about the defensive positioning when the center player goes to pick the ball man. The next question is about off-ball screens. Next, The questions about off-ball screens (8. backdoor play, 10. cut in, 11. cut out, 12. out in, 13. double low post, 18. back screen, 22. outside screen). There are question about the defensive positioning of offensive players when they screen each other. Next, there are three questions on dribble screens (14. dribble to the goal, 19. cut away, 20. jump shot), which ask the defensive positioning when an offensive player crosses the dribbling player while dribbling on the outside and moves into position. There are questions about defensive positioning. Finally, there are four questions on handoff plays (15. Cut Away, 16. Pop Out, 17. Screen and Jump Shot, 21. Drive to the Goal) that ask about the defensive positioning of an offensive player when he moves up to a player who is holding the ball on the outside and hands him the ball. There are a total of 21 items in five areas, including questions on defensive positioning when an offensive player approaches a player holding the ball on the outside and receives the ball by hand (Table1).

### 2.3. Implementation of the questionnaire survey

The age and other characteristics of the subjects included in the analysis are shown in Table 1. This study was conducted with the approval of the research ethics committee of the institution to which the subject belonged (202010 ), and with the written explanation and consent of the subject that the purpose of the study, the content of the measurements, and the research data would not be used for purposes other than those of the study, and that no individual would be identified when the study was published.

Then, questionnaires were distributed to the leaders of each school and mailed to the subjects later. The survey consisted of an example questionnaire (Figure. 1) that illustrated the positioning of offense and defense before and after the start of the play in question, with a written explanation of the reason for the defensive evaluation, and a fivepoint rating for the defensive evaluation. After collection of the questionnaires, the descriptive reasons were categorized into 5 to 8 for each item.
Table 1. Positioning items for half-court defense

| Major iters | Smb-itens | Content |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pick and roll | 1.Stright at | This question asks whetha the bal man is able to jopp to the ball to the ball line sideimeedately afta he passest the hall |
|  | 2Frome cat | Imediately after the ball man passes the ball, he faint sto the opposite side and runs to the inside. In this case, the question is whether he is able tojup to the ball line side fump-to theball) without funting |
|  | 3.Off bal | This is a questionon positioning whena dffende flosts in anticipation of afiont out |
| Onball screm | 4.Driveto tegoal | Ball man asts dout the positioning of the saeenn's difenir as he uses the saeenr to advance to the goal after the saven isfully st. |
|  | 5.Cat may | When the ball man uses the sarenar to get tothe goal after the sarenis croplety set, the savenerrolls andrecivesthe ball at the goal when the defense switches and dfends The question is then abort the positioning of the dofonsenan who was on the screan and rulled |
|  | 6Eady Rodeas | He went for a suem against the ball man and the screna showedthat he was gaing to set the suem and ran for the goal The questionis about the screna's defensive positioning at that monat |
|  | 7.0pan dot | Whenthe offense used an inside scren, the defense set a stetch the trap. The questionacks about the positioning of the two defeniers who set the thep against the ball mana and the positioning of the other players. |
|  | 8. ${ }^{\text {dinp }}$ stot |  time. |
| Offlal same | 9.Badduoor play | The ballman makrs a pass to the side, feints oncr to the opposite side, and thenuses the inside playa's back sceen to advancrintle direction of the ring this question asksthe positioning of the defender making the ballman and the positioning of the savena's drfender. |
|  | 10.Catia | The question asts about precise positioning bet ween the ball man and the maksman when the outside sreen is being conducted on the opposite side of the ball man, the suren is conifred on the opposite side, and the offense ats inside. |
|  | LlCentont | Theplaye in thelow post recrives a pass on the ortside using the saeen of the inside pixyer in the ligh post The question is about whare the defonder in thelow post will passto the savener who sacens down, and the positioning of the inside sueena's defense at that time. |
|  | 120atio | The ball man passes to thelow post and then goes to sreen the inside playe onthe opposite side. The question is huw to position the drenier to be sareened and howto position the drfonier to be saemed |
|  | 13.Dodile low post | The offense on the ball side goes to sceen the offense on the opposite side The question is about the positioning of the defenier on the saeened side, and the positioning of the defenier on the sarened side |
|  | 18.Back sream |  positioning of the defonse when the screened offense goes up to the highpost. |
|  | 22.0atride screa | The ball man passes the ball to the inside playo who then goesto screen the playe on the outide. The questionis then abort the positioning of the drfonse against the offonse bet ween the outsideplayas |
| Drible scram | 14Dinble to Hegoal | The ballean diblies and passesthe ball to his teameste. The qrestion is abot the positioning of the ballean's defender and the positioning of the drender on thereciving end of the ball. |
|  | 19.Cnt away | The ball man approaches the view and makes a pass The offense receivesthe ball and thusts adibble, bet the defenseresponds byplaying switch defense, so the offense that made the pass runs towadthe goal. Thisis a question of how the drfense shouldreact to the offense running towadthe goal |
|  | 20.Jmp shot | The ball man approaches the view and ma eses a pass The offense recrives the ball, and the defenseresponds with a side, so he shoots against the offense that mate the pass. The question is about the defonse agyinst the offense that recrives the ball again |
| Hand offpley | 15.Cet away | Afto passing the ball to the playz on the outside, the ball manruss in the direction of the ball man and reccives the ball again on the ortaide. The question is the positioning of the ballean's defenik and the positioning of the defenide on the side of the ballman who made the pass again on the outside. |
|  | 16.Pop out | Afte passing the ball to the play" on the oretside, the ball manruns in the drection of the bal man and receives the ball again onthe outide Ballean's defonseresponds with a side, but the offonse that made the pass opens up on the ortiside and recrives the ball The question is about the positioning of the defender who recrived the ball on the outside |
|  | 17.Scrue ${ }^{\text {and jomp shot }}$ | Afto passing the ball to the playo on the outside, the ball manions in the direction of the ball manand receives the ball again on the outside Ballean's defonseresponds with a slide, so he walls upthe offense who made the pass and shoots The question is about the positioning of the defenide who recrivedthe ball at that time |
|  | 21.Drive to the goal | Afte passing the ball to aplayz on the ontside, the ball mancuns in the direction of the ball man, recrives the ball again on the outside, and dibilestowadthe goal. The qrestionis howto position the defonse against the offonse that recrives the ball again |
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Example Problem 1) Look at Figure $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ and answer the following questions. Please answer about the positioning of " B ".
A
B

Problem 1.
When OF No. 4 went up to the high post, OF No. 2 made a pass. The arrows on each number are from the player's perspective. Please answer on a 5 point scale.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Defense Evaluation Points } \quad 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \\
& \text { ※A score of } 1 \text { means the defense is not in good shape, and a score of } 5 \text { means it is very good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Please list at least two reasons why you gave the above score in bullet points.
Because the distance between the defender and the offender is too great and there is no space.. Because the defender is looking too much at the ball man and not at his own marksman.

Figure 1. Examples of survey items

### 2.4. Analysis Method

For the evaluation of defense, the differences in the means of the evaluation scores for each of the categorized were tested by on analysis of the variance. Only the reasons that showed significant differences were taken up, and their frequency and mean values were compared. Statistical processing software IBM SPSS statistics 24 (IBM) was used for the analysis, and the significance level was set at less than $5 \%$.

## 3. Results

The results of the classification of the viewpoints of the reasons for judgment obtained in the form of descriptions were classified into seven categories: "Weekside defensive position," "Strongside defensive position," "Ball man defensive position," "General defensive position," "Defensive position related to play selection," "Defensive position related to coordination," and "Situation defensive position related to the viewpoint of judgment" (Table 2).

The results of the analysis of variance for each of these categories are: pick and roll (Early release, Fo=3.04, $\mathrm{df}=[7,369])$, (Open-shot, $\mathrm{Fo}=4.16, \mathrm{df}=[6,319])$, (Jump shot, $\mathrm{Fo}=5.15$, $\mathrm{df}=[5,318]$ ), Off-ball screen (Backdoor, $\mathrm{Fo}=3.84, \mathrm{df}=[4,332]),(\mathrm{Cut}, \mathrm{Fo}=5.68, \mathrm{df}=[4,314]),(\mathrm{Out}-\mathrm{in}, \mathrm{Fo}=2.87, \mathrm{df}=[6,340])$, (Back screen, $\mathrm{Fo}=4.43$, $\mathrm{df}=[6$, 432]), Hand off play (Cut-away, $\mathrm{Fo}=3.20$, $\mathrm{df}=[7,328]$ ), (Pop-out, $\mathrm{Fo}=3.41$, df=[6, 290]), (Screen-and-jump shot, $\mathrm{Fo}=2.97$, $\mathrm{df}=[4,319]$ ), (Drive-to, $\mathrm{Fo}=5.40$, $\mathrm{df}=[5,332]$ ), Dribble screen (Cut-away, Fo=8.56, df=[7, 432]), (Jump shot, $\mathrm{Fo}=5.09, \mathrm{df}=[7,365]$ ). There was a clear correspondence between the ratings and the reasons for them (Table $3)$.
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Table 2. Seven consolidated categories of the reasons for choosing positioning based on the subjects written explanation

| no. | Category |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | defense positioning on the weak side |
| 2 | defense positioning on the strong side |
| 3 | defense positioning for the ball handler |
| 4 | overall configuration of the defense |
| 5 | defense positioning related to choosing a play |
| 6 | defense positioning related to cooperative plays |
| 7 | defense positioning related to situational assessment |

Table 3. The items showing significant difference among mean category scores by one-way ANOVA

| Play items |  | $\mathrm{Fo}_{\dagger}{ }^{\text {) }}$ | df1 ${ }_{\dagger}$ | df2 | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pick and roll | early release | 3.04 | 7 | 369 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | open shot | 4.16 | 6 | 319 | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
|  | jump shot | 5.15 | 5 | 318 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
| Off-ball screen | backdoor | 3.84 | 4 | 332 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | cut | 5.68 | 4 | 314 | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
|  | out in | 2.87 | 6 | 340 | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
|  | back screen | 4.43 | 6 | 432 | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
| Hand off play | cut-away | 3.20 | 7 | 328 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | pop-out | 3.41 | 6 | 290 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | screen-and-jump shot | 2.97 | 4 | 319 | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
|  | drive-to | 5.40 | 5 | 332 | $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ |
| Dribble screen | cut away | 8.56 | 7 | 432 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |
|  | jump shot | 5.09 | 7 | 365 | $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ |

$\dagger) \mathrm{Fo}=$ Indicates the F value. $\mathrm{df}=$ degrees of freedom.

In terms of the frequency of the reason categories, limited to the items that showed significant responses, "Weak side defensive position" was the most frequent reason category ( 27 times), followed by "Defensive position related to play selection" (21 times), "Strong-side defensive position" (14 times), "General defensive position" defensive position related to the selection of plays" 21 times, followed by "defensive position on the strong side" 14 times, "defensive position in general" 12 times, "defensive position on the ball man" 9 times, "defensive position related to coordination" 2 times, and "defensive position related to the perspective of situational judgment" 1 time.

The mean of the ratings for each reason category was 2.44 , with "defensive position related to situational perspective" being the most significant, followed by "defensive position related to play selection" with a score of 2.70 , "defensive position related to weak-side" with a score of 2.76 , "defensive position related to strong-side. The most significant was "general defensive position" with a score of 3.40 (Figure. 2, Figure. 3).

In other words, the players gave more points to the "Weak-side defensive position", suggesting that the "General defensive position" had the highest score in the reason category.
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Figure 2 Reasons for choice of positioning


Figure 3 The mean assessment scores for each reason

## 4. Discussion

In general, the ball man tends to be regarded as the center of play in basketball, but the findings of this study show that many of the subjects focused on the weak side, away from the ball man. However, the results of this study show that most of the subjects focused on the week side, which is away from the ball man. The defensive positioning for the ball man is closer to each other and requires a faster response, so the play tends to follow theories, and there are fewer options for team tactics and individuals.

The weak-side, on the other hand, may not seem necessary at first glance, but it allows for greater distance from the offensive ball carrier, and thus offers more team tactics and individual options. There are two ways of thinking about defense: (1) to defend and prevent the opponent's attack, or (2) to reduce the offense's options and launch an attack (Kuraishi, 2016).

For defense, there are two types of stances: a closed stance, in which the body faces only the opponent, and an open stance, in which the body faces both the ball and the opponent to be defended (Japan Basketball Association, 2014). Because basketball requires players to be able to react instantly to the next possible situation in addition to defensive positioning stance and vision are considered to have become perspectives that influence evaluation. Therefore, the evaluation scores tended to be lower when the weak-side positioning was considered inappropriate.

In the reason category, the evaluation of "general defensive position" was the highest. This indicates that the evaluation is high only when the overall positioning of all players is good. Uchiyama (2000) states that the principle
of defense is "not to give the offense any room to maneuver in terms of space, time, and manpower advantage," specifically, to always "pressure" the ball carrier and the marksman, to "deny" them the ball so that they cannot advance it to the enemy's dangerous player or area, and to retreat to the ball line. This can be summed up in three points: always "pressuring" the ball carrier or marksman, "denying" him the ball so that he does not advance to a dangerous player or area, and retreating to the ball line to take a "help" position. In other words, even if the defenders at the ball man, the weak-side, and the strong side have good positioning, if there is even one player who does not have good positioning, the team as a whole will not be able to defend well. From the above, it can be concluded that defense can be evaluated highly only when the floor balance of the five players is in order.

In addition, defense includes "the defensive system chosen by the team", "the role of the player in the defensive system of the team", "the phase of the game (building the opposing team's offense and stopping the opposing team from preparing and executing an offensive end)", "the level of the player's own competitiveness" (Stiehler et al, 1988).

In recent years, there has been a remarkable development of visual information devices, which was not available a few decades ago (Kodama, 1999; Rikugawa, 2003; Sasaki et al, 1992), and changes in defensive tactics could be observed. For example, Sports Code (made by Sportstec) is one of the most famous software. By using this software, individual and team plays can be shortened and edited for use in meetings, and in addition, video footage can be inputted into the iPod and distributed to each player (Morishige, 2010). As a result, it becomes easier to analyze and collect information on the patterned offensive plays of opponents and the characteristics and habits of individual players in advance, and to take counter-measures, such as devising unique defensive tactics to counter them. Therefore, those who can analyze information more accurately and use it for defensive strategies and tactics, and the team that analyzes information faster stand a better chance of winning.

## 5.Conclusion

1. In this study, we examined the perspectives that influence the evaluation of positioning in half-court defense and we found the following: 1 .
2. The following seven decision-making perspectives were extracted: (1) weak-side defensive position, (2) strong-side defensive position, (3) ball-man defensive position, (4) general defensive position, (5) defensive position related to play selection, (6) defensive position related to coordination, and (7) defensive position related to the perspective of situational judgment. The following seven defensive positions were extracted.
3. The most frequent reason category was (1) Weak-side defensive position ( 27 times), which was higher than (2) Strong-side defensive position (14 times) and (3) Ball man defensive position (9 times) when limited to the items that showed significant responses. The mean of the evaluations for each reason category was 2.44, which was the strictest of the seven (7) defensive positions related to situational viewpoints, and (4) general defensive position was the highest with a score of 3.40 .
4. For positioning in half-court defense, the weak-side is more important than the strong side or the ball man.
5. The team that analyzes all information more accurately and uses it in its defensive strategy and tactics, will have a better chance of winning.
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